

of our troubles and civil war, uttered such senti-

branding Robinson as a perjurer. I have not yet seen it in print; perhaps I used language too strong for publication. But I cannot avoid the inconvenience, inasmuch as I can write one language only—the English. I inherited from my father an incurable predilection for the use of Saxon words. Is not a man who utters falsehoods under oath a perjurer? Then Charles Robinson is just that; for, under oath, most deliberately and malignantly lied. I also wrote a letter to General Lane, because he is my

guard for principle and consistency. After he delivered
I went up to him and asked, "Governor, why didn't
you say one thing more?" He asked what I meant. "Why,"
I rejoined, "you ought to have denounced the letter writ-
ters, and then you would have been squarely on G. W.
Town's platform." A few evenings afterwards, in Law-
rence, in a public speech, he said "That he would let the
will into the free State party if he would only promise to
live with us and behave himself." That declaration filled

series that you was opposed to voting for State on under the Lecompton Constitution. This is a lie. I was a member of the Committee on Resolutions, of I also was a member. You brought in a minority in favor of voting, as did also Champion Vaughan, Thatcher, myself, and two others signed the majority against that policy. If I remember aright, you not advocated voting, but afterwards placed in nomination State ticket, putting it at the head of the *Cruiser*

by conciliating democratic Senators, and inducing vote for certain railroad land grants, they, per-
haps, are justified by the shameless logic which he
brazenly asserted—that no honest man could be
man. The different positions show that he has
to advantage an acquaintance with his satanic
which he once avowed his desire to cultivate, if
whip would consent to be in favor of making
free State.

to find a bill, it is necessary that they should
 and that some one has been killed, and
 with malice aforethought. This term, malice,
 misapprehension I, and is supposed to mean that
 charged had some particular ill feeling towards
 This is not necessary. The books lay
 able as to express and implied malice. Express
 shown by threats, ill treatment, old grudges.
 anything towards taking the life of another
 liable cause is implied malice. If the jury